UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,738	06/26/2006	Yoshikazu Kakura	19987	8170
	7590 10/25/201 ГТ MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN		FLORES, LEON		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2010.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) 6-9, 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d), 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * ○)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
LEON FLORES   2611	Office Action Comments	10/584,738	KAKURA, YOSHIKAZU				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entensare from lampty to available under the previousle under the previousle under the previousle under the provision of 3 CFR 11-380, he in event, however, may a regive the price for some part of the provision of the communication of 3 CFR 11-380, he in event, however, may a regive the previousle of the provision of the communication of 3 CFR 11-380, he in event, however, may a regive the provision of the communication of 3 CFR 11-380, he in event however, may a regive the provision of the provision of the communication of 3 CFR 11-380, he is event to previously with the provision of the provision of the communication of the previously of the provision of th	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extractions of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.50(a), is no event, however, may a ray by be timely filled.  - Extractions of time rays be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.50(a), is no event, however, may a ray by be timely filled.  - If No period for rays is specified above, he maxima wildsulzey pands will apply and will expire xit, only including the maining date of this communication, rays in the maining date of this communication, seen if timely filled.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for raps will. by attente, cause the application to become ANANOONED, 130 U.S. c. § 133) or an end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(dp).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 August 2010.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1.37 Is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5□ □ Claim(s) 1.5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 Is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 Is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 2.5.19.19.13.39-33 Is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 3.5.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.		LEON FLORES	2611				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementary from eapy the available under the provision of 30 °CPT 1.150°, here event, however, may a regity be limitely filled after 50 °C 91 MCONTES from the mailing date of this communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Failur for grow with the set or channel of the communication.  Any prety received by the Citics but rhat these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any santee patient team self-settled.  This action is FINAL.  2b							
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2010.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 9-9.18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 6-9.18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 6-9.18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Objected Statement(s) (PTO-8948) 3 ☐ Information Disclosues Statement(s) (PTO-8948) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent. Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	uaust 2010					
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)	<i>i</i> —	/ <del></del>					
Al) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8] □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application From the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Tertsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Paper No(s) Mail Date 5) Signation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·	Expante Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-5.10-17.22-27 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Profresperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7)  Claim(s) 6-9. 18-21, 30-33 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-17,22-27 and 34-37</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9, 18-21, 30-33</u> is/are objected to.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·-						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Solution Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
5) [		_					

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims (1-37) have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims (1-3, 10-15, 22-27, 34-37) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Takada. (US Patent 7,031,402 B2)

Re claim 1, AAPA discloses a wireless communication system using a wireless communication apparatus having a plurality of transmission and reception antennas, wherein: the wireless communication apparatus comprising: correspondence determining means for determining, upon producing first through M-th (M being an

integer not smaller than 2) transmission signals, correspondence between first through K-th (K being an integer not smaller than 2) transmission sequences and frequency channels (See fig. 4: 86); and extracting and combining means for extracting and combining, upon producing first through K-th demodulated sequences, M demodulated signals corresponding to the first through the K-th transmission sequences in accordance with the correspondence between the first through the K-th transmission sequences and the frequency channels. (See fig. 5: 108, 110 & ¶s 18-19)

But the reference of AAPA fails to explicitly teach that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence.

However, Takada does. (See col. 1, lines 63-66) Takada suggests that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence. ("In a Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) system, interference between signals transmitted by different transmitters using different hopping patterns is unlikely to cause a problem b/c the probability of the same frequency being used at the same time is low".)

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of AAPA and Takada <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to incorporate this feature into the system of Yoshii, in the manner as claimed and as taught by Takada, for the benefit of minimizing interference between transmitters.

Re claim 2, the combination of AAPA & Takada further teach that wherein: the correspondence determining means comprises: a transmitting portion including coded sequence producing means for encoding first through K-th transmission sequences to produce first through K-th coded sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 81), interleaved sequence producing means for interleaving the first through the K-th coded sequences to produce first through K-th interleaved sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 82), partial transmission sequence producing means for dividing each of the first through the K-th interleaved sequences into first through M-th partial transmission sequences (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 83), transmission signal producing means for frequency-multiplexing the first through the M-th partial transmission sequences corresponding to each of the first through the K-th transmission sequences with respect to each of the first through the M-th partial transmission sequences to produce first through M-th transmission signals, and first through M-th transmission antennas for transmitting the first through the M-th transmission signals, respectively. (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 93-94)

Re claim 3, the combination of AAPA & Takada further teach that wherein: the extracting and combining means comprises a receiving portion including first through N-th (N being an integer not smaller than 1) reception antennas (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 10), demodulating means for decomposing first through N-th reception signals received by the first through the N-th reception antennas into first through M-th partial demodulated signals for each frequency channel (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 110), demodulated sequence

producing means for extracting and combining, from the first through the M-th partial demodulated signals for each frequency channel, M demodulated signals corresponding to each of the first through the K-th transmission sequences to thereby produce first through K-th demodulated sequences (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 110), deinterleaved sequence producing means for deinterleaving the first through the K-th demodulated sequences to produce first through K-th deinterleaved sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 112), and decoding means for decoding the first through the K-th deinterleaved sequences to produce first through K-th decoded sequences, respectively. (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 113)

Re claim 10, the combination of AAPA & Takada further teach that wherein OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex) is used as a wireless transmission method and frequency multiplexing is realized by multiplexing subcarriers. (In AAPA, see fig. 4 & ¶ 13)

Re claim 11, the combination of AAPA & Takada further teach that wherein: the transmission signal producing means determines, upon producing the first through the M-th transmission signals, correspondence between the first through the K-th transmission sequences and the frequency channels by the use of a different frequency hopping pattern for each transmission signal (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 86); the demodulated sequence producing means extracting and combining, upon producing the first through the K-th demodulated sequences, M demodulated signals corresponding to each of the

first through the K-th transmission sequences in accordance with the different hopping pattern for each transmission signal in the transmission signal producing means. (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 107)

Re claim 12, the combination of AAPA & Takada further teach that wherein a frequency hopping pattern such that frequency channels corresponding to an i-th (i=1, 2, ..., K) transmission sequence are completely orthogonal among the first through the M-th transmission signals. (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 86)

Claim 13 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Claim 14 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 2 above.

Claim 15 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 3 above.

Claim 22 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 10 above.

Claim 23 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 11 above.

Claim 24 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 12 above.

Claim 25 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 1. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 25 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 1. Therefore, claim 25 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Claim 26 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 2. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 26 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 2. Therefore, claim 26 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 2 above.

Claim 27 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 3. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 27 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 3. Therefore, claim 27 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 3 above.

Claim 34 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 10. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 34 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 10. Therefore, claim 34 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 10 above.

Claim 35 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 11. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 35 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 11. Therefore, claim 35 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 11 above.

Claim 36 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 12. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 36 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 12. Therefore, claim 36 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 12 above.

Claim 37 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,738

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims (4-5, 16-17, 28-29) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. (hereinafter AAPA) & Takada (US Patent 7,031,402 B2), as applied to claims 1, 13, 25 & 37 above, and further in view of Ue et al. (hereinafter Ue) (US Patent 6,611,676 B2)

Page 8

Re claim 4, the combination of AAPA & Takada fails to teach that wherein the transmitting portion comprises scheduling means for reducing the number of transmission sequences when a reception quality at the receiving portion is lower than a predetermined first threshold and for increasing the number of transmission sequences when the reception quality is higher than a predetermined second threshold.

However, Ue does. (See figs. 1-2) Ue discloses that wherein the transmitting portion comprises scheduling means for reducing the number of transmission sequences when a reception quality at the receiving portion is lower than a predetermined first threshold (See col. 7, lines 4-8) and for increasing the number of transmission sequences when the reception quality is higher than a predetermined second threshold. (See col. 7, lines 30-35)

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of AAPA, Takada & Ue <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to incorporate this feature into the system of AAPA, as modified by Takada, in the manner as claimed and as taught by Ue, for the benefit of enhancing the effect of switching the transmission rate. (See col. 7, lines 24-25)

Re claim 5, the combination of AAPA, Takada & Ue further teach that wherein the scheduling means reduces the number of transmission sequences successively from the transmission sequence for which the reception quality at the receiving portion for each transmission sequence is low. (In Ue, see col. 7, lines 4-8)

Claims (16-17) have been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims (4-5), respectively.

Claim 28 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 4. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 28 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 4. Therefore, claim 28 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 4 above.

Claim 29 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 5. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 29 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 5. Therefore, claim 29 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 5 above.

6. Claims (1-3, 10-15, 22-27, 34-37) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Hottinen et al. (hereinafter Hottinen) (US Publication 2003/0081563 A1)

Re claim 1, AAPA discloses a wireless communication system using a wireless communication apparatus having a plurality of transmission and reception antennas, wherein: the wireless communication apparatus comprising: correspondence determining means for determining, upon producing first through M-th (M being an

integer not smaller than 2) transmission signals, correspondence between first through K-th (K being an integer not smaller than 2) transmission sequences and frequency channels (See fig. 4: 86); and extracting and combining means for extracting and combining, upon producing first through K-th demodulated sequences, M demodulated signals corresponding to the first through the K-th transmission sequences in accordance with the correspondence between the first through the K-th transmission sequences and the frequency channels. (See fig. 5: 108, 110 & ¶s 18-19)

But the reference of AAPA fails to explicitly teach that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence.

However, Hottinen does. (See figs. 2-3 & ¶s 41, 89) Hottinen suggests that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence. ("at different frequencies or different spreading codes")

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of AAPA and Hottinen <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to incorporate this feature into the system of AAPA, in the manner as claimed and as taught by Hottinen, for the benefit of minimizing interference between transmitters.

Re claim 2, the combination of AAPA and Hottinen further teach that wherein: the correspondence determining means comprises: a transmitting portion including coded sequence producing means for encoding first through K-th transmission sequences to

produce first through K-th coded sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 81), interleaved sequence producing means for interleaving the first through the K-th coded sequences to produce first through K-th interleaved sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 82), partial transmission sequence producing means for dividing each of the first through the K-th interleaved sequences into first through M-th partial transmission sequences (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 83), transmission signal producing means for frequency-multiplexing the first through the M-th partial transmission sequences corresponding to each of the first through the K-th transmission sequences with respect to each of the first through the M-th partial transmission sequences to produce first through M-th transmission signals, and first through M-th transmission antennas for transmitting the first through the M-th transmission signals, respectively. (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 93-94)

Re claim 3, the combination of AAPA and Hottinen further teach that wherein:the extracting and combining means comprises a receiving portion including first through N-th (N being an integer not smaller than 1) reception antennas (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 10), demodulating means for decomposing first through N-th reception signals received by the first through the N-th reception antennas into first through M-th partial demodulated signals for each frequency channel (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 110), demodulated sequence producing means for extracting and combining, from the first through the M-th partial demodulated signals for each frequency channel, M demodulated signals corresponding to each of the first through the K-th transmission sequences to thereby produce first

through K-th demodulated sequences (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 110), deinterleaved sequence producing means for deinterleaving the first through the K-th demodulated sequences to produce first through K-th deinterleaved sequences, respectively (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 112), and decoding means for decoding the first through the K-th deinterleaved sequences to produce first through K-th decoded sequences, respectively. (In AAPA, see fig. 5: 113)

Re claim 10, the combination of AAPA and Hottinen further teach that wherein OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex) is used as a wireless transmission method and frequency multiplexing is realized by multiplexing subcarriers. (In AAPA, see fig. 4 & ¶ 13)

Re claim 11, the combination of AAPA and Hottinen further teach that wherein: the transmission signal producing means determines, upon producing the first through the M-th transmission signals, correspondence between the first through the K-th transmission sequences and the frequency channels by the use of a different frequency hopping pattern for each transmission signal (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 86); the demodulated sequence producing means extracting and combining, upon producing the first through the K-th demodulated sequences, M demodulated signals corresponding to each of the first through the K-th transmission sequences in accordance with the different hopping pattern for each transmission signal in the transmission signal producing means. (In

AAPA, see fig. 5: 107)

Re claim 12, the combination of AAPA and Hottinen further teach that wherein a frequency hopping pattern such that frequency channels corresponding to an i-th (i=1, 2, . . . , K) transmission sequence are completely orthogonal among the first through the M-th transmission signals. (In AAPA, see fig. 4: 86)

Claim 13 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Claim 14 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 2 above.

Claim 15 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 3 above.

Claim 22 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 10 above.

Claim 23 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 11 above.

Claim 24 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 12 above.

Claim 25 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 1. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 25 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 1. Therefore, claim 25 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Claim 26 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 2. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 26 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 2. Therefore, claim 26 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 2 above.

Claim 27 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 3. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 27 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 3. Therefore, claim 27 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 3 above.

Claim 34 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 10. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 34 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 10. Therefore, claim 34 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 10 above.

Claim 35 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 11. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 35 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 11. Therefore, claim 35 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 11 above.

Claim 36 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 12. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 36 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 12. Therefore, claim 36 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 12 above.

Claim 37 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

7. Claims (4-5, 16-17, 28-29) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. (hereinafter AAPA) & Hottinen et al (hereinafter Hottinen) (US Publication 2003/0081563 A1), as applied to claims

Art Unit: 2611

1, 13, 25 & 37 above, and further in view of Ue et al. (hereinafter Ue) (US Patent 6,611,676 B2)

Re claim 4, the combination of AAPA & Hottinen fails to teach that wherein the transmitting portion comprises scheduling means for reducing the number of transmission sequences when a reception quality at the receiving portion is lower than a predetermined first threshold and for increasing the number of transmission sequences when the reception quality is higher than a predetermined second threshold.

However, Ue does. (See figs. 1-2) Ue discloses that wherein the transmitting portion comprises scheduling means for reducing the number of transmission sequences when a reception quality at the receiving portion is lower than a predetermined first threshold (See col. 7, lines 4-8) and for increasing the number of transmission sequences when the reception quality is higher than a predetermined second threshold. (See col. 7, lines 30-35)

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of AAPA, Hottinen & Ue <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to incorporate this feature into the system of AAPA, as modified by Hottinen, in the manner as claimed and as taught by Ue, for the benefit of enhancing the effect of switching the transmission rate. (See col. 7, lines 24-25)

Re claim 5, the combination of AAPA, Hottinen & Ue further teach that wherein the scheduling means reduces the number of transmission sequences successively

from the transmission sequence for which the reception quality at the receiving portion for each transmission sequence is low. (In Ue, see col. 7, lines 4-8)

Claims (16-17) have been analyzed and rejected w/r to claims (4-5), respectively.

Claim 28 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 4. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 28 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 4. Therefore, claim 28 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 4 above.

Claim 29 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 5. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 29 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 5. Therefore, claim 29 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 5 above.

8. Claims (1, 13, 25, 37) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Partyka. (US Patent 6,728,293 B1)

Re claim 1, AAPA discloses a wireless communication system using a wireless communication apparatus having a plurality of transmission and reception antennas, wherein: the wireless communication apparatus comprising: correspondence determining means for determining, upon producing first through M-th (M being an integer not smaller than 2) transmission signals, correspondence between first through K-th (K being an integer not smaller than 2) transmission sequences and frequency

Application/Control Number: 10/584,738

Art Unit: 2611

channels (See fig. 4: 86); and extracting and combining means for extracting and combining, upon producing first through K-th demodulated sequences, M demodulated signals corresponding to the first through the K-th transmission sequences in accordance with the correspondence between the first through the K-th transmission sequences and the frequency channels. (See fig. 5: 108, 110 & ¶s 18-19)

But the reference of AAPA fails to explicitly teach that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence.

However, Partyka does. (See Abstract & claim 10) Partyka suggests that the correspondence is different for each of said plurality of transmission antennas, where each transmission signal output has a different correspondence. ("hopping pattern is different for each of the transmitters")

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of AAPA and Partyka <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to incorporate this feature into the system of Yoshii, in the manner as claimed and as taught by Partyka, for the benefit of minimizing interference between transmitters.

Claim 13 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Claim 25 is a method claim corresponding to system claim 1. Hence, the steps performed in method claim 25 would have necessitated the elements in system claim 1. Therefore, claim 25 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 37 has been analyzed and rejected w/r to claim 1 above.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2611

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEON FLORES whose telephone number is (571)270-1201. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-5pm Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2611 October 21, 2010

/David C. Payne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611

Art Unit: 2611

# Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims (6-9, 18-21, 30-33) are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.